



LYTLE CREEK
PHASE II COMMUNITY PLAN MEETING
JUNE 13TH, 2005
MEETING NOTES

These meeting notes are not a transcript or verbatim record of the dialogue that occurred at the community meeting. These notes are intended to capture, to the best of our ability, a summary of the discussion that occurred during the Open Discussion portion of the meeting, including comments and questions from the public and responses from County staff (and their representatives) that were provided during the meeting.

Public Comment: According to Bob Wood (Front Country District), Lytle Creek would be deemed a high impact recreational area.

County Response: I am going to have to make an interpretation that the gentleman was probably refereeing to the national forest, they are required to address their environmental impacts (NEPA). We have included goals and policies that encourage coordination with the Forest Service but the County does not have jurisdiction over forest service lands. The County's EIR will address all the impact areas (traffic, noise, housing, air quality) for the unincorporated areas

Public Comment: Most people are sitting on less than one acre. Explain the impact of the community plan on these densities. There is a traffic problem in this community.

County Response: Need to clarify that the general plan would apply to future subdivisions but existing lots that were legally subdivided less than the current minimums could still develop but would still need to meet setback requirements.

Public Question: Lytle Creek and I-15 experience heavy congestion, particularly during weekends and seasonally. Does the County have a plan to address this?

County Response: There are some planned traffic improvements in the works however the funding for these improvements will be provided by proposed developments. There will be increased traffic until the money is accumulated to pay for these improvements. This consists of coordination between the City of Fontana (mostly) and

the County and is contingent upon the pace of development in both Lytle Creek and the City of Fontana.

Public Comment: Traffic flow is improved if someone is there to facilitate traffic flow (i.e., CHP).

Public Question: What is the timing for the proposed developments?

County Response: The Lytle Creek development is a long-term development, the Board of Supervisors just approved the master tract.

Public Comment: The problem is that there are too many jurisdictions to deal with and so what you are telling us is that there is no solution.

County Response: No, there is a solution but it is long-term, there is not an immediate solution.

Public Comment: There are some gross errors in the plan; the population figures, housing figures and wastewater data.

County Response: We acknowledged earlier that errors with the data were brought to our attention by the committee. There are handouts with the corrected population and housing figures. We are working with the CSD to correct any inaccuracies in the wastewater data.

Public Question: Do the population figures take into account the people living at the campgrounds?

County Response: No, that becomes an enforcement issue.

Public Comment: The question actually relates to the population within RV parks on county land. The RV parks are using 50% of the wastewater. Even though the land is reserved for low-density development, the actual condition and number of people provides a much higher density situation and is impacting our infrastructure because the existing condition is not being acknowledged properly. The plan is misguided and so it loses credibility.

County Response: This plan is a policy document, what you are talking about (establishment of a campground) is generally dealt with through a Conditional Use Permit process. It is a public process and you need to be diligent in ensuring that your needs are acknowledged.

Public Comment: The wastewater numbers are inaccurate and need to be fixed.

County Response: We will be reviewing the numbers. We received comments from Sally Boyd and have met with the Community Services District to discuss the data. We will work on revisions to the plan in response to comments from the meeting this evening and those received after the meeting, and then the plan will be distributed. Following that there will be an EIR process and finally the public hearings which will all provide the opportunity for additional public input.

Public Comment: The actual impact and needs assessment is not sufficient and I don't think you have the budget to do it. There needs to be a much more detailed needs and environmental assessment.

Public Question: Our house is on the historic list, what does that mean?

County Response: This was a policy from the old plan, it listed historic sites of interest to the community that they would like to see preserved and protected.

Public Comment: (Owner) I am the owner of that property and would like it removed from the list.

Public Comment: I do not think it should be taken off the list, it is of historic significance

Note: Raquel Jones asked that her house be added to the list provided in policy CO 2.1. for historic preservation (the Rock or Stone House 1401 Lytle Creek Rd)

Public Comment: Issues with HIRA fees and government authority

Public Comment: There are 33 homes with forest service leases, we want a land exchange. Want a policy regarding land exchange with the forest service for properties adjacent to the forest service.

Public Question: When will law enforcement be improved?

County Response: The implementation schedule (located in the Appendix) is a list of all the policies within the plan. It is a way to list the priorities for the community and is intended to be used as a tool for the Board of Supervisors to consider when they are evaluating funding for the year. We are in a continual discussion with the Sheriff's Department to try and memorialize a standard within the general plan, it would probably be provided at countywide or possibly at the regional level. If we can get that information at a Community Plan we will.

Public Comment: The Sheriff credits incident response to gas stations at the bottom of the hill to Lytle Creek. A lot of calls are for the gas stations and recreation areas. Is there a way to separate that and ensure Lytle Creek is getting service? That being said, I have seen some improvements in service for the community.

Public Comment: Would like the Field Representative to return the message and encourage a traffic cop at the bottom of the hill to facilitate the flow of traffic.

Public Question: Is there a maximum number of people allowed at events?

County Response: The number of people would be reviewed as part of future development proposals.

Public Comment: The color coding on the map seems wrong, shows commercial on the wrong side of the road.

County Response: Thank you for pointing that out, we have noted that and will correct the map.

Public Question: Regarding tree preservation, are there any plans to replant native vegetation? What about Edison?

County Response: There is a native plant ordinance in place currently. Utilities are exempt.

Public Question: What is the Institutional land area shown on the map?

County Response: It is the Forest Service compound.

Public Question: What is turf grass?

County Response: It is a lawn. The policy that discourages use of turf grass is intended to encourage landscaping that is more compatible with native vegetation and the surrounding environment.